## International Journal of Probiotics and Prebiotics Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 105-112, 2006

ISSN 1555-1431 print, Copyright © 2006 by New Century Health Publishers, LLC www.newcenturyhealthpublishers.com
All rights of reproduction in any form reserved

# PATHOGENICITY OF Fusobacterium nucleatum: GENERAL ASPECTS OF ITS VIRULENCE

## Mario J. Avila-Campos and Viviane Nakano

Anaerobe Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Institute of Biomedical Science, University of São Paulo, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 1374, 05508-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

[Received February 25 2006; Accepted June 30, 2006]

ABSTRACT F. nucleatum constitutes an important part of the subgingival microbiota of gingivitis and periodontitis. It is present in larger amounts in adults than in children. This organism exhibits several biological activities and participates in a broad range of bacterial coaggregations in oral cavity. Moreover, F. nucleatum play an important role in serious infections in other parts of the body, thus an accurate identification of these species will be a great importance not only for taxonomic reasons but also for appropriate treatment of infections, since the antimicrobial susceptibility vary widely. It must be emphasized that the etiology of periodontal disease is complex and multifactorial, but although much has been learned of the involvement of F. nucleatum very little is known of the exact reactions in pathogenesis. Certainly, further studies of functions and structure relations of F. nucleatum OMPs may contribute significantly to progress.

**KEY WORDS:** Adhesion, Bacteriocins, Coaggregation, Colicin-Like Substances, Invasion, Periodontal Diseases

Corresponding Author: Dr. Mario J. Avila-Campos, Anaerobe Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of São Paulo, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 1374, 05508-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. Phone: +55-11-3091-7344. Fax: +55-11-3091-7354. E-mail: mariojac@usp.br

#### Introduction

Anaerobic bacteria comprise a large percentage of the oral and gut indigenous microbiota (Finegold 1985). Some anaerobic bacteria posses several potentially pathogens factors, particularly gram-negative rods which appear to be present in several anaerobic infections, such as periodontal diseases.

Fusobacterium nucleatum is indigenous of the human oral cavity and may constitute a considerable part of the subgingival microbiota of gingivitis in children and adults and of periodontitis in juveniles and adults as well as in healthy sites (Savitt and

Socransky 1984). *E nucleatum* exhibits several biological activities related to the etiology of gingival inflammation and oral diseases, and this organism has the ability to participate in a broad range of coaggregations. It is among the most frequently isolated bacteria in plaque from healthy sites, its number increase about 10-fold in plaque samples from periodontally diseased sites.

The primary colonization site of *E nucleatum* in humans and animals is the oral cavity. Several virulence phenotypes of *E nucleatum* have been identified. Thus, this organism is recognized as an "adhesive" organism because it binds to a variety of host mammalian cells, including epithelial and endothelial cells, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, monocytes, erythrocytes, fibroblasts, and HeLa cells, as well as salivary macromolecules, extracellular matrix proteins, and human immunoglobulin G (IgG) (Ozaki et al. 1990; Han et al. 2000). Moreover, three components, a 40 to 42 kDa major outer membrane porin protein (FormA) and 39.5 kDa and 30-kDa polypeptides, have been suggested as possible adhesins in *E nucleatum* that are involved in the interbacterial coaggregation (Kinder and Holt 1993; Shaniztki et al. 1997).

#### Taxonomy and General Characteristiscs

*F. nucleatum* is the type species of the genus *Fusobacterium*, which belongs to the family *Bacteroidaceae*. The name *Fusobacterium* has its origin in *fuses*, a spindle; and *bacterion*, a small rod: thus, a small spindle-shaped rod. The term *nucleatum* originates from the nucleated appearance frequently seen in light and electron microscope preparations owing to the presence of intracellular granules (Moore et al. 1984). *F. nucleatum* is a nonspore forming, non-motile, and gram-negative rod, with a G+C content of 27 to 28 mol% and a genome size of about 2.4 x 10<sup>6</sup> bp (Bolstad 1994). Colony morphology is not a consistent parameter of the fusobacteria and is not sufficient for species identification. The bacterium is anaerobic but can growth in the presence of up to 6% oxygen (Moore et al. 1984).

The species *F. nucleatum* is considered to be rather heterogeneous. On the bases of electrophoretic patterns of whole-

cell proteíns and DNA homology, and have been proposed dividing *F. nucleatum* into three different subspecies: *nucleatum*, *polymorphum* and *vincentii* (Dzink et al. 1990). On the base of DNA-DNA hybridization and electrophoretic patterns of the enzymes glutamine dehydrogenase and 2-oxoglutarate reductase (Gharbia and Shah 1990) divided *Fusobacterium* species into four subspecies: *nucleatum*, *polymorphum*, *fusiforme* and *animalis*.

Strain ATCC 25586 is the type *F. nucleatum* subsp. *nucleatum* and an observed heterogeneity within *F. nucleatum* is also reflected in the DNA methylation pattern. This organism appears to be closely related to *Bacteroides* spp. and the flavobacteria, and some similarity has also been found in the DNA (Bolstad 1994) and antigenic compositions of these species. Intrageneric relationships of members of the genus *Fusobacterium* have been determined by reverse transcriptase sequencing of small-subunit rRNA (Falkler et al. 1982).

### Growth and Metabolism

Fusobacteria require rich media for growth and usually growth well in media containing trypticase, peptone, or yeast extract (Bakken et al. 1990). *F. nucleatum* seems to be one of the few nonsporulating anaerobic species that uses amino acid catabolism to provide energy, and some strains of *F. nucleatum* utilize and apparently need peptides for growth.

All strains use amino acids, glutamate, histidine, and aspartate. *E nucleatum* utilizes glucose to a low extent compared with other species, and this organism does not growth with sugars as the main energy source (Robrish et al. 1991). Available data on fusobacterial species indicate that glucose is used for the biosynthesis of intracellular molecules and not energy metabolism (Shah and Gharbia 1989). The energy necessary for active transport of the sugars (acetylphosphate and ATP) is derived from the anaerobic fermentation of glutamine, lysine, and histidine, and these compounds must provide the energy for glucose and galactose accumulation by a process involving membrane translocation, intracellular phosphorylation, and polymer synthesis.

In oral cavity, dental plaque bacteria can synthesize and partly utilize dextran and it is suggested that this polysaccharide can act a carbohydrate storage compound. Additionally, in an infectious process, such as periodontitis there is a symbiotic life in the periodontal pocket for apparently several species and this is best illustrated by the coexistence of different bacterial species in clusters and by coaggregation of F. nucleatum and P. gingivalis in intimate contact, which probably supplies each with essential metabolites. The asaccharolytic bacteria are nearly always anaerobic and generally found subgingivally, where they utilize nitrogenous substances for energy, are usually weakly fermentative, and tend to raise the local pH (Shah and Gharbia 1989). Moreover, more than 90% of the carbohydrates used by bacteria in dental plaque are used for energy production, but carbohydrates are also utilized by asaccharolytic species like F. nucleatum in which, e.g., glucose is used for biosynthesis of intracellular macromolecules and not energy metabolism.

## Occurrence and Role in Periodontal Diseases

Anaerobic microbiota comprises a large percentage of the oral and gut indigenous microbiota. Anaerobes, particularly gram-negative

rods, possess potentially pathogenic factors, which appear to be related to several pathological conditions, such as periodontal diseases (Hofstad et al. 1991).

The genus Fusobacterium is the second most frequently recovered anaerobic microbial group in human and animal microbiota. Some species, particularly, F. nucleatum have been involved in monomicrobial and mixed infections such as sinusitis, pelvic infections, osteomyelitis, lung abscesses and periodontal diseases, tropical skin ulcers, peritonsilar abscesses (Jousimies-Somer et al. 1993), pyomyositis and septic arthritis (Gonzáles-Gay et al. 1993), bacteremia and liver abscesses (Scoular et al. 1992), intrauterine infections (Chaim and Mazor 1992), bacterial vaginosis, urinary tract infections (Ribot et al. 1981), pericarditis and endocarditis (Shammas et al. 1993), and lung pleuropulmonary infections (Bartlett 193).

Studies have shown the pathogenic potential of *F. nucleatum* in animal models, such as dogs and primates, suggesting a bacterial etiology of periodontal disease (Gaetti-Jardim et al. 2000). However, the mechanism of bone breakdown in periodontal disease is still unresolved. Much is known about the in situ interaction of these factors with bacterial components, and how they are related to disease progression. The complexity of the bacterial ecology in the subgingival plaque and the inherent difficulties in measurement of the disease progression has made these models somewhat problematic to identify the in vitro virulence factors activity expressed by oral bacteria.

It is also possible that even though the bacteria could be pathogenic individually, their combination could produce synergistic or additive damage to the periodontal tissues such as has been proposed for *P. gingivalis* and *F. nucleatum* (Feuille et al. 1994).

### Immunological Aspects

Higher serum antibody titers to *F. nucleatum* have been reported in patients with periodontitis than in patients with gingivitis or healthy individuals (Danielsen et al. 1993). *F. nucleatum* produces factors capable suppressing lymphocyte responses in vitro.

Human lysozyme is capable of dissolving the peptidoglycan layer of *E. nucleatum*. Different periodontopathic bacteria may stimulate different cell types to produces cytokines, which may have synergistic or antagonistic effects. *E. nucleatum* stimulates different cell types to produce IL-1, IL-6, tissue necrosis factor alpha, and TGF-â (Rossano et al. 1993; Gemmel and Seymour 1994) and stimulates PMNs to produce an IL-1 inhibitor. There is current evidence that cell surface components such as OMPs exhibit powerful immunobiological activity, many of which are common to LPS and peptidoglycans (Morrison and Ryan 1980). On the other hand, *E. nucleatum* has been observed to stimulate immunoglobulin G, A and M (Seow et al. 1987) and T-cell responses and to active complement (Horiba et al. 1992).

The first line of defense of the periodontal pocket is the PMNs, which make up over 90% of the leukocytes in the gingival fluid. Adherence of the cells is one of the earliest observable events after PMN activation. Seow et al. (1987)

found that F. nucleatum enhanced PMNs adherence. This stimulating effect of F. nucleatum may cause release of toxic oxygen radicals and lysosomal enzymes, resulting in damage to the periodontium. It was suggested that lectin-mediated binding plays a role in the phagocytosis of *F. nucleatum* in the absence of opsonins.

#### **Pathogenesis**

## Adhesion, Invasion and Coaggregation

Bacteria adhere to host tissues by a specific interaction mediated by macromolecules on the bacterial surface that combine with complementary structures on the host cell surface (London 1991). When bacteria adhere to each other, the phenomenon is called coaggregation, and this bacterium-bacterium interaction is defined as the recognition between surface molecules on two different bacterial cell types such as that a mixed-cell aggregate is formed. Bacterial adherence is essential in the colonization and establishment of an infection in a susceptible host, and adherence itself is thus an important virulence factor in addition to the toxins, enzymes and capsular substances produced by the organisms (Hoepelman and Tuomanen 1992). In general, a microorganism cannot be an effective pathogen unless it adherence seems especially important in the early events of bacterial infection (London, 1991). The hemolytic moiety has been found in cell, cell wall, and LPS extracts. The binding specificity and possible bacterial receptors have been studied (Ozaki et al. 1990). A galactose-binding protein has been suggested to be responsible for F. nucleatum hemagglutination (Dehazya and Cole 1982).

In Table 1 are listed some virulence factors of *F. nucleatum*. Adhesins are proteins located on the surface of bacteria that mediate their attachment to specific substrates as a first step in colonization (London 1991). These bacterial lectins appear

Table 1. Virulence factors of F. nucleatum

Property	Effects
Adhesins	Cell attachment, colonization, coaggregation
OMP	Coaggregation, cell nutrition, antibiotic resistance
Capsule Lipopolysaccharide	Antiphagocytic, inhibits macrophage Stimulates bone resorption, and inflammation in animal experiments.
Hydrolytic enzymes: hyaluronidase, elastase, fibrinolysin, hemolysin, chondroitin sulphatase, phosphatase, β-lactamase	Potential damage to host tissue, may act as spreading factors
Superoxide dismutase and catalase	Reduce the toxic effect of superoxide radicals and hydrogen peroxide
Growth factors Menadione, hemin, succinate, peptides, amino acids	Stimulates bacterial growth
Antagonistic substance (Bacteriocin)	Microbial regulation

to recognize complex oligomer polysaccharides and include fimbriae and certain OMPs on gram-negative bacteria, as well as fimbriae-like appendages of gram-positive organisms.

Hemagglutinins are, by definition, adhesins. F. nucleatum displays hemagglutination activity on sheep and human erythrocytes (Dehayza and Coles 1982); attaches to human oral epithelial cells (Falkler et al. 1982), collagen, gingival fibroblasts, and PMNs (Ozaki et al. 1990); and shows hemolytic activity.

Although, there are some observations indicating pilus-like fimbriae in F. nucleatum, more recent studies have not verified this phenomenon, suggesting that this bacterium does not posses fimbriae, pili, or flagellae (Kinder and Holt 1993). It occasionally has mucopolysaccharide capsule of variable thickness, which may be important for its pathogenic capability (Brook and Walker 1986).

F. nucleatum possesses an outer membrane (OMP) characteristic of gram-negative bacteria (Bakken et al. 1989). The cell envelope consists of outer and inner (cytoplasmic) membranes separated by a periplasmic space containing the peptidoglycan layer (Bakken et al. 1990). OMPs are of great interest with respect coaggregation (Kinder and Holt 1993), cell nutrition (Benz 1994), and antibiotic susceptibility (Speer et al. 1992). Moreover, several studies have shown that OMPs are involved in the pathogenicity of gram-negative bacteria (Buchanan and Pearce 1979).

LPS of F. nucleatum consist of a typical lipid A component, exhibiting a close structural relationship to that of other groups of gram-negative bacteria, and an O-antigen heteropolysaccharide. Also, the LPS contain 3-deoxy-D-manno-octulosonic acid (Hofstad and Asdnegard 1986). F. nucleatum LPS are endotoxins and possess O-antigenic specificity (Hofstad et al. 1979). They belong to the enterobacterium-type LPS and have been found to possess biological activities comparable to those of LPS of certain strains of E. coli in

> terms of activation of Limulus lysate, local Schwartzman reaction, B-cell mitogenicity, polyclonal B-cell activation, induction of bone resorption, and IL-1 production by macrophages (Hofstad et al. 1993).

> This indicates that LPS from F. nucleatum may play a role in adhering not only to epithelium but also to tooth surfaces, including root cement. If this is the case, it would be important to remove cement-associated contaminants, such as endotoxins by scaling and root planning with the aim of do not allow a new attachment.

> F. nucleatum is a particularly strong activator of PMN, and the bacterium is phagocytosed and killed by the PMNs (Ozaki et al. 1990). Addiction of GalNAc to the suspension completely inhibited killing of the fusobacteria. Lectin-like interactions between F. nucleatum and PMNs are probably mediated by the fusobacterial cell wall proteins previously reported to mediate binding of F. nucleatum to human erythrocytes, epithelial cells, fibroblasts, and lymphocytes (Tuttle and Mangan 1990).

This organism shows strong fibronectin-binding capacity, and it has been suggested that fibronectin mediates the bacterial adhesion to eukaryotic cells, but while epithelial cells recognizing the gram-positive bacteria were rich in fibronectin, epithelial cells recognizing the gram-negative cells were lacking fibronectin. The abilities of bacteria to adhere to and degrade basement membranes in vivo should be considered important steps for the potential active and passive invasion of gingival tissues.

Coaggregation is a phenomenon prevalent among oral bacteria, particularly, isolated from the human oral cavity. This process is a direct bacterium-bacterium interaction and is highly specific in that only certain cell types are partners. The interactions are usually mediated by lectin-carbohydrate molecules on the partners and are not caused by soluble molecules or suspended substances. Since viable as well as dead cells coaggregate, the interactions must depend on existing surface molecules and not on a response by viable cells.

The recognition may be intrageneric, intergeneric, or multigeneric in nature, and in all three kinds of coaggregations the cells appear to interact independently of other cells in the population. Surprisingly, intrageneric coaggregation among strains of oral bacteria is found infrequently and seems to occur only among the early colonizers of the tooth. The fusobacteria, which coaggregate with the widest range of genera tested so far, do not coaggregate with other fusobacteria. Intergeneric coaggregation is defined as cell-to-cell recognition and adherence between bacterial pairs from different genera. *F. nucleatum* also participates in multigeneric coaggregation, i.e., interacting bacterial networks composed of coaggregating cells of three or more genera (Hofstad and Bramanti 1991).

It is proposed that fusobacteria act as a bridge between early and late colonizers. The early colonizers adhere to the tooth pellicle and coaggregate with other early colonizers and also with F. nucleatum. F. nucleatum coaggregates with P. gingivalis via a galactose-containing carbohydrate on P. gingivalis and an OMP from F. nucleatum (Kinder and Holt 1993). F. nucleatum is the most frequently detected species in activate disease sites. Since fusobacteria accumulate glucose in the form of intracellular glucan, which can be used as an energy source when glucose becomes a limiting nutrient, it is possible that small amounts of glucose are excreted from the bacterial cell. This would encourage other bacteria to localize near the surface of the fusobacteria and encourage subsequent attachment (Kolenbrander et al. 1990). Coaggregations with a wide variety of partners may play an important role in the maintenance of fusobacteria in the oral cavity, considering the fact that fusobacteria adhere very poorly to human cheek epithelial cells.

E nucleatum binds to a wide variety of partners, including both eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells. Although a few putative adhesins have been suggested to be involved in interbacterial coaggregation or agglutinination of red blood cells, it is unclear if they are also required for E nucleatum binding to other host cells. A novel adhesin, FadA, which is unique to oral fusobacteria, was identified. It was required for E nucleatum attachment to epithelial cells and thus may play an important role in Fusobacterium colonization in the host. Loss of FadA resulted in a 70 to 80% reduction of the organism's ability to bind to KB and CHO cells

Adherence to epithelial cells is important for colonization. Besides serving as a physical barrier, the epithelium also functions as a sensor for the presence of bacteria. The direct physical contact between bacteria and the mucosal surface triggers the expression of a variety of immune response mediators from epithelial cells. One such modulator is IL-8, a low-molecular-weight, proinflammatory chemokine that attracts and activates neutrophils (Okada and Murakami 1998).

This adhesive process is a common characteristic shared by many pathogens since it is a crucial step for establishing an infection. Invasion of epithelial cells by oral bacteria has attracted considerable attention (Meyer et al. 1997). *F. nucleatum* invades epithelial and endothelial cells in vitro, a mechanism presumably employed for its spreading into deeper tissues (Han et al. 2000). Invasion of *F. nucleatum* into endothelial cells was observed in vivo in the mouse placenta.

This process allows the bacteria not only to evade the host immune surveillance but also to spread into deeper tissues. Histological studies of periodontal infections also indicated penetration of deeper tissues by cocci, rods, and fusi-spirochetal forms in advanced periodontitis (Allenspach-Petrzilka and Guggenheim 1983). A. actinomycetemcomitans and P. gingivalis both organisms invade by a "ruffling" mechanism; that is, they cause dramatic ruffling of host cell membranes at the site of entry, resulting in bacteria internalized in the form of spacious vacuoles. This ruffling mechanism is one of the two major penetration mechanisms used by invasive bacteria. The outer major entry mechanism, termed zipping, in which the invading bacteria remain in close contact with the host membrane during penetration, has not been reported for any oral bacteria.

#### **OMPs**

It is assumed that components of the outer membrane, among which are proteins, are involved in the pathogenesis of infection of gram-negative bacteria (Buchanan and Pearce 1979). The OMPs can function as receptors for phages and mitogens and act as specific substrate binding sites. Some OMPs are pores that are important for transport of nutrients (Nikaido and Vaara 1985), and some are involved in coaggregation between bacteria (Kolenbrander et al. 1990).

OMPs may serve as antigens and have been considered candidates for the production of vaccines (Buchanan and Pearce 1979). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that OMPs of gramnegative bacteria can be involved in invasion of tissue and that surface-exposed loops of OMPs are of importance for virulence.

Few OMPs of *F. nucleatum* have been identified and characterized in some molecular level (Bakken et al. 1989). The OMP called FomA has been the focus of special interest and the gene *fomA* encoding the FomA porin monomer was sequenced (Bolstad and Jensen 1993). That FomA is a nonspecific porin and it functional unit appear to be a trimer of 40-kDa, but the structure and function and its relationship are unclear.

#### Bacteriocin

The microbial composition of the established dental plaque may be controlled by nutrient requirements or production of antagonistic substances. However, the nature of the inhibitory substances is still a matter of discussion. Microbial antagonistic substances were first studied by Gratia and Fredericq (Gratia and Fredericq 1946), who showed the iso-inhibitory activity produced by Enterobacteriaceae. These colicin-like substances were called bacteriocins. The knowledge of bacteriocin production has been extended to gram-positive and gram-negative aerobes, facultative and strict anaerobes (Jack et al. 1995).

From an ecological viewpoint, there are few studies concerning production of antagonistic substances, such as bacteriocins, in gramnegative anaerobes indigenous to the human microbiota, particularly, in oral *F. nucleatum* from human and animal origin. However, the production of metabolic products such as bacteriocinlike substances may be important in ecological processes to the colonization of periodontal tissues by E. nucleatum or other members from human indigenous microbiota (Bolstad et al. 1996).

The production of antagonistic substances may play an important role in the microbial colonization of the human or animal oral cavity, leading to ecological alterations in the indigenous microbiota. Because bacteriocin-like substances are produced by a high number of oral microorganisms, a constant and complex intra-or inter-specific regulation can be expected.

Auto-antagonism is more prevalent in gram-positive than in gram-negative organisms. Auto-antagonism among the tested F. nucleatum was observed in a small number of isolates, where as, iso- and hetero-antagonism were observed in a large number of them, suggesting that the bacteriocin-like activity in F. nucleatum is variable. This can have a great ecological significance, particularly in colonization or regulation of the autochthonous microbiota of the host.

Bacteriocin synthesis appears to be an unstable characteristic since some microorganisms lose and recover the capacity to produce it. On other hand, it also is possible that one isolate may produce more than one antagonistic substance with different physicochemical and biological properties.

Weerkamp et al. (1977) suggested that some components of the nutrient media protect some organisms against bacteriocin action. The chemical nature, genetic determinants and environmental factors that affect the phenotypic expression of the bacteriocin-like production are not totally determined.

#### Susceptibility to Antimicrobials

The fusobacteria are susceptible to many of the most commonly used antibiotics, but they have reduced susceptibility or may be resistant to vancomycin, neomycin, erythromycin, amoxicillin, ampicillin, and phenoxymethylpenicillin (Appelbaum et al. 1993). Beta-lactamase-producing strains of F. nucleatum have been isolated (Tunér et al. 1985), and isolation of β-lactamase-producing strains of fusobacteria is increasing (Jacobs et al. 1992).

OMPs of gram-negative bacteria may function as a route of entry for antibiotics, including β-lactams, tetracyclines, chloramphenicol and hydrophilic quinolones. Studies on porin-deficient mutants of E. coli have revealed that hydrophilic antibiotics can traverse the outer membrane by the three porins PhoE, OmpF, and OmpC. It appears to be the case with FomA of F. nucleatum but it has not been shown.

Antimicrobial agents have been used in periodontal treatment either alone or in combination with conventional treatment to eliminate putative periodontopathogens. The most extensively used antimicrobial as an adjunct in the periodontal treatment has been the broad-spectrum bacteriostatic tetracyclines. Tetracycline, doxycycline and minocycline concentrate in gingival crevicular fluid at concentrations up to five times those found in serum. Most tetracycline resistance genes have been found on plasmids and are readily transmissible; others are located on chromosomal elements that can be transferred by conjugation (Speer et al. 1992).

Moreover, penicillin resistant oral F. nucleatum have been isolated from humans and monkey, and plasmid from both source were a similar plasmid profile. Also, a β-lactamase production was detected in that strain suggesting a chromosomal resistance (Paula et al. 2003). The combination of amoxicillin and metronidazole or amoxicillin and clavulanic acid, active against anaerobes, is among the regimens used for periodontal therapy. On the other hand, antiseptics have been used in association with antibiotics to reduce infections after oral surgeries and also to help in treating periodontal disease. Chlorhexidine and triclosan have been used to evaluate the inhibitory concentration action against F. nucleatum isolated from oral cavity and it is suggested that antimicrobial inhibitory concentration may alter either the bacterial virulence factors or the microorganism-host relationship. Both antiseptics showed a good action against this organism (Okamoto et al. 2002). Moreover, triclosan and metronidazole did not produce ultrastructural alterations in F. nucletatum but these agents are able to decrease the adherence process, although, several antimicrobials can modify any virulence factor in F. nucletaum but the knowledge about the host-fusobacteria relationship is still unclear.

#### Summary and Conclusions

F. nucleatum constitute an important part of the subgingival microbiota of gingivitis and periodontitis. It is present in larger amounts in adults than in children. This organism exhibits several biological activities and participates in a broad range of bacterial coaggregations in oral cavity. Moreover, F. nucleatum play an important role in serious infections in other parts of the body, thus an accurate identification of these species will be a great importance not only for taxonomic reasons but also for appropriate treatment of infections, since the antimicrobial susceptibility vary widely. It must be emphasized that the etiology of periodontal disease is complex and multifactorial, but although much has been learned of the involvement of F. nucleatum very little is known of the exact reactions in pathogenesis. Certainly, further studies of functions and structure relations of F. nucleatum OMPs may contribute significantly to progress.

### REFERENCES

Allenspach-Petrzilka, G.E. and Guggenheim, B. (1983) Bacterial invasion of the periodontium and important factor in the pathogenesis of periodontitis? Journal of Clinical Microbiology **10**, 609-617.

Appelbaum, P.C., Spangler, S.K. and Jacobs, M.R. (1993) Susceptibility of 539 Gram-positive and Gram-negative anaerobes to new agents, including RP59500, biapenem, trospectomycin and piperacillin/tazobactam. *Journal of Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **32**, 223-231.

Bakken, V., Aaro, S. and Jensen, H.B. (1989) Purification and partial characterization of a major outer-membrane protein of Fusobacterium nucleatum. *Journal of General Microbiology* **135**, 3253-3262.

Bakken, V., Hogh, B.T. and Jenses, H.B. (1990) Utilization of amino acids and peptides by Fusobacterium nucleatum. Scandinavian *Journal of Dental Research* **97**, 43-53.

Bartlett, J.G. (1993) Anaerobic bacterial infections of the lung and pleural space. *Clinical Infectious Disease* **16**, 248-255. Benz, R. (1994) Uptake of solutes through bacterial outer membranes, p. 397-423. In J.-M. Ghuisen and R. Hackenbeck (ed.), Bacterial cell wall. Elsevier Science B. V., Amserdam.

Bolstad, A.I. and Jensen, H.B. (1993) Complete sequence of *omp1*; the structural gene encoding the 40-kDa outer membrane protein of *Fusobacterium nucleatum* strain Fev1. *Gene* **132**, 107-112.

Bolstad, A.I. (1994) Sizing the *Fusobacterium nucleatum* genome by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **123**, 145-152.

Bolstad, A.I., Jensen, H.B. and Bakken, V. (1996) Taxonomy, Biology, and Periodontal Aspects of *Fusobacterium nucleatum*. *Clinical Microbiology Review* **9**, 55-71.

Brook, I. and Walker, R.I. (1986). The relationship between *Fusobacterium* species and other flora in mixed infection. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **21**, 93-100.

Buchanan, T.M. and Pearce, W.A. (1979) Pathogenic aspects of outer membrane components of gram-negative bacteria, p. 475-514. *In.* M. Inouyce (ed.), Bacterial outer membranes. J. Wiley, New York.

Chaim, W. and Mazor, M. (1992). Intraamniotic infection with fusobacteria. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics* **251**, 1-7.

Danielsen, B., Wilton, J.M.A., Baelum, V., Johnson, N.W. and Fejerskov O. (1993) Serum immunoglobulin G antibodies to *Porphyromonas gingivalis, Prevotella intermedia, Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Streptococcus sanguis* during experimental gingivitis in young adults. *Oral Microbiology and Immunology* **8**, 154-160.

Dehazya, P. and Coles Jr, R.S. (1982) Extraction and properties of hemagglutinin from cell wall fragments of *Fusobacterium nucleatum*: factors influencing hemagglutination and some characteristics of the agglutin. *Journal of Bacteriology* **143**, 205-211.

Dzink, J.L., Sheenan, M.T. and Socransky, S.S. (1990) Proposal of three subspecies of *Fusobacterium nucleatum* Knorr 1922: *Fusobacterium nucleatum* subsp. nov., comb.

nov.; Fusobacterium nucleatum subsp. polymorphum subsp. nov., nom. rev. comb. nov.; and Fusobacterium nucleatum subsp. vincentii subsp. nov., nom. rev., comb. nov. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 40, 74-8.

Falkler Jr., W.A., Smoot, C.N. and Mongiello, J.R. (1982) Attachment of cell fragments of *Fusobacterium nucleatum* to oral epithelial cells, gingival fibroblasts and white blood cells. *Archives Oral Biology* **27**, 553-559.

Feuille, F., Kesavalu, L., Steffen, M.J., Holt, S.C. and Ebersole, J.L. (1994) Synergistic tissue destruction induced by *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum. Journal of Dental Research* **73**, 159.

Finegold, S.M. (1985) Anaerobic bacteria in human disease. New York: Academic Press, 1977, p. 171-181.

Gaetti-Jardim, E., Luvizotto, M.C.R. and Avila-Campos, M.J. (2000) Virulence of oral *Fusobacterium nucleatum* from humans and non-human primates in mice. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **31**, 146-150.

Gemmell, E. and Seymour, G.J. (1994) Modulation of immune responses to periodontal bacteria. *Current Opinion in Periodontology*, **1**, 28-38.

Gharbia, S.E. and Shah, H.N. (1990) Heterogeneity within *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, proposal of four subspecies. *Letters Applied Microbiology* **10**, 105-8.

González-Gay, M.A., Sánchez-Andrade, A., Cereijo, M.J., Pulpeiro, J.R. and Arnesto, V. (1993) Pyomyositis and septis artritis from *Fusobacterium nucleatum* in a nonimmunocompromised adult. *Journal of Rheumatology* **20**, 518-520.

Gratia, A. and Fredericq, P. (1946) Divesité des souches antibiotiques de *Bacterium coli* et étendue variable de leur champ d'action. *Comptes Rendus des Seances de la Societes de Biologie et deses Filiales* **140**, 1032-1033.

Han, Y.W., Shi, W., Huang, G.T., Kinder, S., Haake, N., Park, H., Kuramitsu, H. and Genco, R.J. (2000) Interactions between periodontal bacteria and human oral epithelial cells: *Fusobacterium nucleatum* adheres to and invades epithelial cells. *Infection and Immunity* **68**, 3140-3146.

Hoepelman, A.I.M. and Tuomanen, E.I. (1992) Consequences of microbial attachment: directing host cell functions with adhesins. *Infection and Immunity* **60**, 1729-1733.

Hofstad, T., Skaug, N. and Bjornland, T. (1979) O-antigenic cross-reactivity in *Fusobacetrium nucleatum*. Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica Section B, Microbiology 87, 371-374.

Hofstad, T. and Asdnegard, B. (1986) Oligosaccharides obtained by partial hydrolysis of lipopolysaccharide from Fusobacterium nucleatum. Journal of Periodontal Research 21, 163-168.

Hofstad, T. and Bramanti, T.E. (1991) Factors in virulence expression and their role in periodontal disease pathogenesis. Critical Reviews in Oral Biology and Medicine 2, 177-281.

Hofstad, T., Skaug, N. and Sveen, K. (1993) Stimulation of B lymphocythes by lipopolysaccharides from anaerobic bacteria. Clinical Infectious Disease 16, 200-202.

Horiba, N., Maekawa, Y., Yamauchi, Y., Ito, M., Matsumoto, T. and Nakamura, H. (1992) Complement activation by lipopolysaccharides purified from gram-negative bactéria isolated from infected root canals. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology 74, 648-651.

Jack R.W., Tagg, J.R. and Ray, B. (1995) Bacteriocins of Grampositive bacteria. Microbiological Reviews 59, 171-200.

Jacobs, M.R., Spangler, S.K. and Appelbaum, P.C. (1992) Betalactamase production and susceptibility of US and European anaerobic gram-negative bacilli to beta-lactams and other agents. European Journal Clinical Microbiology Infectious Diseases 11, 1081-1093.

Jousimies-Somer, H., Savolainen, S., Mäkitie, A. and Ylikoski, J. (1993) Bacteriologic finding in peritonsillar abscesses in young adults. Clinical Infectious Disease 16, 292-298.

Kinder, S.A. and Holt, S.C. (1993) Localization of the Fusobacterium nucleatum T18 adhesin activity mediating coaggregation with Porphyromonas gingivalis T22. Journal of Bacteriology 175, 840-850.

Kolenbrander, P.E., Andersen, R.N. and Moore, L.V.H. (1990) Intrageneric coaggregation among strains of human oral bacteria: potential role in primary colonization of the tooth surface. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 56, 3890-3894.

Kolenbrander, P.E. and London, J. (1992) Adhere today, here tomorrow; oral bacterial adherence. Journal of Bacteriology 175, 3247-3252.

London, J. (1991) Bacterial adhesions. Annual Report on Medicinal Chemistry 26, 239-247.

Meyer, D.H., Mintz, K.P. and Fives-Taylor, P.M. (1997) Models of invasion of enteric and periodontal pathogens into epithelial cells: a comparative analysis. Critical Reviews in Oral Biology and Medicine 8, 389-409.

Moore, W.E.C, Holdeman, L.V. and Kelley, R.W. (1984). Genus II Fusobacterium. In: Bergey's Manual of determinative bacteriolo y. 9 ed. Baltimore: Willians & Wilkins. v.1, p. 631-637.

Morrison, D.C. and Ryan, J.L. (1980) Bacterial endotoxins and host immune responses. Advances in Immunology 28, 293-450.

Nikaido, H. and Vaara, M. (1985) Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability. Microbiology Review 49, 1-32.

Okada, H. and Murakami, S. (1998) Cytokine expresión in periodontal health and disease. Critical Reviews in Oral Biology and Medicine **9**, 248-266.

Okamoto, A.C., Gaetti-Jardim, E., Arana-Chavez, V.E. and Avila-Campos, M.J. (2002) Influence of subinhibitory concentrations of antimicrobials on hydrophobicity, adherence and ultra-structure of Fusobacterium nucleatum. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 33, 178-184.

Ozaki, M., Miyake, Y., Shirakawa, M., Takemoto, T., Okamoto, H. and Suginaka, H. (1990) Binding specificity of Fusobacterium nucleatum to human erythrocytes, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, fibroblasts, HeLa cells. Journal of Periodontal Research 25, 129-134.

Paula, M.O., Gaetti-Jardim, E. Jr. and Avila-Campos, M.J. (2003) Plasmid profile in oral Fusobacterium nucleatum from humans and Cebus apella monkeys. Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo 45, 5-9.

Ribot, S., Gal, K., Goldblat, M.V. and Eslami, H.H. (1981) The role of anaerobic bacteria in the pathogenesis of urinary tract infections. Journal of Urology 126, 852-853.

Robrish, S.A., Oliver, C. and Thompson, J. (1991) Amino aciddependent transport of sugars by Fusobacterium nucleatum ATCC 10953. Journal of Bacteriology **169**, 3891-3897.

Rossano, F., Rizzo, A., Sangnes, M.R., Cipollaro de L'Ero, G. and Tufano, M.A. (1993) Human monocytes and gingival fibroblasts release tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , interleukin-1 $\alpha$  and interleukin-6 in response to particulate and soluble fractions of Prevotella melaninogenica and Fusobacterium nucleatum. International Journal of Clinical Research 23, 165-168.

Savitt, T.R. and Socransky, R.R. (1984) Distribution of certain subgingival microbial species in selected periodontal conditions. Journal of Periodontal Research 19, 111-123.

Scoular, A., Corcoran, G.D., Malin, A., Evans, B.A., Davies, A. and Miller, E.F. (1992) Fusobacterium nucleatum bacteremia with multiple liver abscesses in a HIV-I antibody positive man with IgG<sub>2</sub> deficiency. Journal of Infection 24, 321-325.

Seow, W.K., Seymour, G.J. and Thong, Y.H. (1987) Direct modulation of human neutrophil adherence by coggregation periodontopathic bacteria. International Archives of Allergy and Applied Immunology 83, 121-128.

Shah, H.N. and Gharbia, S.E. (1989) Ecological events in subgingival dental plaque with reference to *Bacteroides* and *Fusobacterium* species. *Infection* 17, 264-268.

Shammas, N.W., Murphy, G.W., Eichelberger, J., Klee, D., Schwartz, R. and Bachman, W. (1993) Infective endocarditis due to *Fusobacterium nucleatum* case report and review of the literature. *Clinical Cardiology* **16**, 72-75.

Shaniztki, B., Hurwitz, D., Smorodinsky, N., Ganeshkumar, N. and Weiss, E.I. (1997) Identification of a *Fusobacterium nucleatum* PK1594 galactose-binding adhesin which mediates coaggregation with periopathogenic bacteria and hemagglutination. *Infection and Immunity* **65**, 5231-5237.

Speer, B.S., Shoemaker, N.B. and Salyers, A.A. (1992) Bacterial resistance to tetracycline: mechanisms, transfer, and clinical significance. *Clinical Microbial Review* **5**, 387-399.

Tunér, K., Lindqvist, L. and Nord, C.E. (1985) Characterization of a new  $\beta$ -lactamase from *Fusobacterium nucleatum* by surface profiles and chromatofocusing patterns. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **16**, 23-30.

Tuttle, R.S. and Mangan, D.F. (1990) Interaction of *Fusobacterium nucleatum* 191 with human peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Journal of Periodontal Research* **25**, 364-371.

Weerkamp, A., Vogels, G.D. and Skotinicki, M. (1977) Antagonistic substances produced by streptococci from human dental plaque and their significance in plaque ecology. *Caries Research* 11, 245-256.